1	DAVID LAVINE, SBN 166744 A. MICHELLE REED, SBN 223702			
2	FARALLON LAW GROUP LLP 459 Fulton Street, Suite 102			
3	San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 255-2450			
4	Facsimile: (415) 255-2451			
5	Attorneys for Third-Party COMPASS VISION, INC.			
6	IEDENAL VEHED CONTINUO			
7 8	JEREMY MEIER, SBN 139849 THOMAS A. WOODS, 201050 GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP			
9	1201 K Street, Suite 1100 Sacramento, CA 95814			
10	Telephone: (916) 442-1111 Facsimile: (916) 448-1709			
11	Attorneys for Third-Party			
12	MAXIMUS, INC.			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
15	THORITIZE (B)			
16	LAURA FUJISAWA, et al.,	No. C07-5642 BZ		
17	Plaintiff,	Related Cases: C07-3431 BZ		
18	vs.	C08-4118 BZ C09-2016 BZ		
19	COMPASS VISION, INC., et al.	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT OF		
20	Defendants.	CONSENT JUDGMENT RE PARTY ATTORNEYS' FEE [PROPOSED] ORDER		
21 22		[FROI OSED] ORDER		
23	COMPASS VISION, INC.,			
24	Third-Party Plaintiff,			
25	vs.			
26	MAXIMUS, INC.,			
27	Third-Party Defendant.			
28		1		
		-1-	No. C07-5642 BZ	

Casse 3:07-cv-054642-BZ Document 463 Filed 03/17/11 Page 11:061111

<u>BACKGROUND</u>

- 1. These are civil actions for damages brought by multiple pharmacists and nurses ("plaintiffs") in the Northern District of California, and Southern District of California for the alleged improper marketing and use of an alcohol detection test known as EtG ("EtG claims"). A single plaintiff tried her claim to a jury in the Northern District of California while other like California claims were stayed or pending. The jury returned a finding of no liability as to both defendants. Other plaintiffs have since decided to dismiss their related claims, such that no further EtG claims remain in the California federal courts.
- 2. Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff Compass Vision, Inc. ("Compass Vision") has been and is now a corporation with its principal headquarters located in Oregon. Compass Vision was and is a Third-Party Administrator ("TPA") of drug testing services for diversion programs for drug and alcohol-addicted health care professionals in selected states. For purposes of this case, Compass Vision sub-contracted with fellow defendant National Medical Services, Inc. (NMS) to provide drug testing, including for EtG, to the California diversion programs for pharmacists and nurses.
- 3. Third-Party Defendant MAXIMUS, Inc. ("MAXIMUS") has been and is now a corporation with its principal headquarters located in Virginia. MAXIMUS was and is a diversion program contractor in California. For purposes of this case, as the contractor for the California diversion programs, MAXIMUS sub-contracted the Program's drug testing services to Compass Vision. MAXIMUS was not named as a defendant in the instant suits, but was impleaded by Compass Vision as a third-party defendant.
- 4. Summary judgment was granted for MAXIMUS on all of Compass Vision's third-party claims in the Southern District of California, and also as to the claim of the lead active Plaintiff's case in the Northern District of California. All remaining third-party claims against MAXIMUS in the Northern District, as well as third-party counterclaims brought by MAXIMUS against Compass Vision, have been or will be

2- No. C07-5642 BZ

- 5. The subcontract between MAXIMUS and Compass Vision provides for the award of attorneys' fees resulting from contract disputes in certain circumstances. MAXIMUS contends that it is the prevailing party in the third-party claims brought by Compass Vision, and under the contract with Compass Vision is now entitled to collect attorneys' fees and costs. MAXIMUS has moved for attorneys' fees and costs in the Southern District of California, and has planned to do so in the Northern District of California.
- 6. Compass Vision disputes that MAXIMUS is entitled to attorneys' fees and costs in either judicial district in the first instance and, in the alternative, disputes that MAXIMUS is entitled to the amount of attorneys' fees and costs it has demanded. STIPULATED SETTLEMENT RECITALS AND RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

Compass Vision and MAXIMUS ("the Parties") have engaged in settlement negotiations between themselves and under the supervision of both Courts. Having now reached a settlement of MAXIMUS claims for attorneys' fees and costs related to MAXIMUS defense of Compass's indemnity claims in the EtG cases, the Parties and their attorneys, officers, directors, shareholders, members, partners, board members, employees, consultants, representatives, agents, predecessors, successors, insurers, insureds, sureties, subcontractors, suppliers, assigns, subsidiaries, parent corporations, divisions, joint venture partners and affiliated entities, both public and private, agree to mutually and generally release and to forever discharge each other and each other's attorneys, officers, directors, shareholders, partners, members, board members, lenders, employees, consultants, representatives, agents, predecessors, successors, sureties, subcontractors suppliers, assigns, subsidiaries, parent corporations, divisions, joint venture partners and affiliated entities, both public and private, under the following terms and conditions:

Compass Vision and MAXIMUS agree that Compass Vision shall pay to 1. MAXIMUS a total of \$300,000.00, according to the following payment schedule:

> -3-No. C07-5642 BZ

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	
2	
2	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
5 6 7 8 9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

27

28

\$1,000.00 by the fifth day of each month starting from January 5, 2012 and ending on December 5, 2013;¹

\$3,000.00 by the fifth day of each month starting from January 5, 2014 and ending on December 5, 2014;

\$5,000.00 by the fifth day of each month starting from January 5, 2015 and ending on December 5, 2018.

2. The payments described in this Agreement shall be made by check, payable to "MAXIMUS, Inc.," mailed to the following addressee:

MAXIMUS Accounts Payable PO BOX 791188
Baltimore, MD 21279-1188

- 3. Compass Vision and MAXIMUS further agree that Compass Vision will be permitted a five-day grace period, to the tenth day of each month, before payment can be deemed late.
- 4. If Compass Vision fails to make full payment due by the tenth day of any month, Compass shall be in breach of this agreement. In the event of such breach, and at the end of the first ten (10) days of non-payment of the amount owed for the period, Compass Vision and MAXIMUS agree that: Compass Vision shall be assigned an initial "late payment penalty" for the unpaid balance owed for that initial period that is no greater than 5% of the unpaid balance due and owing for the period; and, for any and all subsequent late payments thereafter, Compass Vision shall owe an additional 10% of the unpaid balance each month (including any accrued late pay penalties).
- 5. Compass Vision and MAXIMUS further agree that if any payment is deemed late pursuant to earlier recitals, Compass Vision shall have the right to cure such late payment by tendering that payment in full, plus accrued late payment penalties, no later than the payment deadline of the second following month i.e., by the fifth day of

¹ Unless stated otherwise in this Agreement, should any date for payment fall on a weekend or holiday, the deadline for payment is automatically extended to the next business day.

the second month following the breach. During the period permitted to cure a late payment, MAXIMUS is not permitted to petition a Court for relief based on any such alleged breach. Late payment in full by the fifth day of the second following month will return Compass Vision to good standing under this Stipulated Settlement and Judgment.

- 6. If, however, Compass Vision fails to make full payment (including payment of late payment penalties) by the fifth day of the second month following a payment that is less than the full amount owed, Compass Vision shall be deemed "in full default," and the full amount of the unpaid balance owed under this Agreement (including then existing late payment penalties) shall be immediately due and owed to MAXIMUS.
- 7. Compass Vision and MAXIMUS agree that, in the case of any dispute arising out of or related to any alleged failure to perform in accordance with the terms of this Stipulated Settlement and Judgment, the party asserting a failure of performance shall notify the other party via overnight mail and electronic mail, through counsel Jeremy Meier at the above law firm and address for MAXIMUS, and Robert Swider at Swider Medeiros Haver LLP, 621 SW Morrison, Suite 1420, Portland, Oregon 97205 for Compass Vision. Any party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending each other Party notice by overnight mail and electronic mail.
- 8. Within five business days of the notification, the parties shall meet and confer in a good-faith effort to resolve the dispute. If the parties are unable to resolve the dispute through the meet and confer process, either party may file a motion with the Court to resolve the issue or issues specified in the meet and confer process and/or to enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.
- 9. It is further understood and agreed by the Parties that, subject to the provisions of sections 7 and 8, if at any time a violation of any term of this Agreement is asserted by any Party hereto, that Party shall have the right to seek judicial enforcement of the Agreement, and/or any other necessary and proper relief, and the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover its reasonable costs and attorneys' fees. Furthermore, this Agreement may be enforced by any Party by any procedure permitted by law and nothing

herein shall preclude a Party from seeking relief for breach of this Release, or for acts, omissions or conditions that occur subsequent to the execution of this Release. Nothing herein shall be a defense or bar to any subsequent action to enforce or otherwise preserve the parties' rights.

10. Compass Vision and MAXIMUS agree that the United States District Courts for the Southern and Northern District of California shall have jurisdiction to enforce the terms of this Stipulated Settlement and Judgment until all payments have been made, and that any disputes or motions related to the enforcement of this Consent Judgment shall be brought in the Southern District. Once final payment in full has been made, jurisdiction will end.

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 1. Compass Vision and MAXIMUS agree that this Stipulated Settlement and Judgment shall act as a full and final resolution of any and all claims, actions, causes of action, based on any statute or provision of common law, whether legal or equitable, that could have been brought in this action or in any other proceeding or forum, whether known or unknown, and all liability arising out of, or in any way related to, claims arising out of, or in any way related to, their activities in the California Diversion Program and their subcontract. MAXIMUS specifically waives and releases Compass Vision, and Compass Vision specifically waives and releases MAXIMUS, from all claims and potential claims to attorneys' fees and costs, except as provided herein.
- 2. Compass Vision and MAXIMUS further agree and acknowledge that they may hereafter discover facts in addition to or different from those which they now know or believe to be true, and that they may have sustained or may yet sustain damages, costs or expenses that are presently unknown and that relate to the matters encompassed by their settlement and this Order. Compass Vision and MAXIMUS nevertheless agree and acknowledge that they have negotiated, agreed upon, and entered into their settlement in light of such possibilities, and it is their mutual intention that this Stipulated Settlement and Judgment, to the fullest extent possible, be effective as a bar to any and all actions,

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	

fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of whatever character, nature and kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected. In furtherance of this intention, Compass Vision and MAXIMUS waive any and all rights which they may have under state or federal statute or common law principle that would otherwise limit the effect of this Judgment to claims known or suspected at the date on which the Parties hereto execute their settlement, including but not limited to the protections and effect of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him must have materially affected his settlement with the debtor.

- 3. This Stipulated Settlement and Judgment may be executed in counterparts, each of which will be considered an original, but all of which, when taken together, will constitute one and the same instrument.
- 4. The captions used in this Stipulated Settlement and Judgment are meant to be an aid in interpreting it. To the extent they conflict with any substantive provisions, they are to be disregarded.
- 5. The language of this Stipulated Settlement and Judgment shall be construed as a whole according to its fair meaning, and as the product of fair negotiation and joint drafting, and as such not strictly for or against either of the parties to it.
- 6. The Parties agree that they will now and in the future execute any and all documents, releases, dismissal notices, and any other form of written documentation necessary to fulfill the terms and obligations of this Stipulated Settlement and Judgment. Upon full execution and approval by the Court of this Stipulated Settlement and Judgment, MAXIMUS will file its Notice of Withdrawal of Motion for Attorneys' Fees and its Bill of Costs in this and related cases.
- 7. The undersigned represent and warrant that they are authorized to sign on behalf of, and to bind, their respective corporations, and have obtained all needed approvals from within their corporations.

8. This Stipulated Settlement and Judgment shall be construed pursuant to the 1 law of the State of California in effect on the date of this Stipulated Settlement and 2 3 Judgment. 9. The Parties agree that facsimile signatures may be treated as originals for 4 5 purposes of this Agreement. 6 10. This Agreement shall be binding on and shall inure to the benefit of the Parties hereto and their respective agents, partners, affiliates, officers, directors, 7 8 employees, representatives, successors, assigns, attorneys, and insurers. 9 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 10 Dated: March 15, 2011 11 12 COMPASS VISION, INC. 13 Kim McKown, Principal President 14 Dated: March __, 2011 15 MAXIMUS, INC. 16 17 Michael Lemberg, Division President 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 -8-

8. This Stipulated Settlement and Judgment shall be construed pursuant to the		
law of the State of California in effect on the date of this Stipulated Settlement and		
Judgment.		
9. The Parties agree that facsimile signatures may be treated as originals for		
purposes of this Agreement.		
10. This Agreement shall be binding on and shall inure to the benefit of the		
Parties hereto and their respective agents, partners, affiliates, officers, directors,		
employees, representatives, successors, assigns, attorneys, and insurers.		
IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
Dated: March, 2011		
COMPASS VISION, INC.		
By Walkers Principal		
Kim McKown, Principal Dated: March 17, 2011		
By By		
Michael Lemberg, Division President		
E Company of the Comp		
-8-		

Casse 3: 07-cv-03632-BZ Document 462 Filed 03/17/11 Page 1000 f 111

ORDER AND JUDGMENT ENFORCING STIPULATED SETTLEMENT Notice having been given, and the Court having considered the Stipulated Settlement, and it appearing to the Court that there is good cause to approve the Stipulated Settlement, in that the amount of attorneys' fees and costs agreed to is reasonable, IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the United States District Court for the Northern District of California shall approve and enforce as called upon the terms of this Stipulated Settlement. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: March 17, 2011 United States Magistrate Judge -9-No. C07-5642 BZ

LAURA FUJISAWA, et al. v. COMPASS VISION, INC., et al., and Related Third Party Complaint

U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, Case No. 3:07-cv-05642-BZ

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to or interested in this action. I am employed in the County of Sacramento, State of California and my business address is Greenberg Traurig, LLP, 1201 K Street, Suite 1100, Sacramento, CA 95814. On this day I caused to be served the following document(s):

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT RE: THIRD-PARTY ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS; [PROPOSED] ORDER

BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE. I served the above listed document(s) via the United States District Court's Electronic Filing Program on the designated recipients through electronic transmission through the CM/ECF system on the Court's Website addressed to:

Steven S. Kane, skane@thekanelawfirm.con Norman Perlberger, perlberglaw@aol.com Eliot H. Lewis, ehlewis@ppl-law.com	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
Robert A. Swider, robert@smhllaw.com David Lavine, dlavine@farallonlaw.com	Attorneys for Defendant and Third Party Plaintiff Compass Vision, Inc.
David F. Beach, beach@perrylaw.net Anne D'Arcy, darcy@perrylaw.net Christian Barrett Green, christian.green@cna.com	Attorneys for Defendant National Medical Services, Inc. d/b/a NMS Labs

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on March 17, 2011 at Sacramento, California.

/s/Jeremy A. Meier Jeremy A. Meier

No. C07-5642 BZ